	McCurry	Muench	Cochrane	Totals
Schenkel Shultz	100	96	91	287
ADG	91	89	87	267
GMA	80	91	82	253
GHC	75	88	89	252
PMSA	65	79	83	227
WJ Architects	60	73	84	217
Castellanos / Tramonte	25	92	84	201

Reviewer Name MULNON
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name Castellawas / TRAMONTE
----------------------------------

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	20
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	10)
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	18
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	0)
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	8
TOTAL	100	72

Reviewer Name Much M Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name GRACE HEBERT CHRTIS

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	.26
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	15
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	18
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	8
TOTAL	100	88

Reviewer Name Muenth Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name Paretier Mudgett SuiTh

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	15
<ol> <li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li> </ol>	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	15
4. Reference Projects	20	15
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	8
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	8
TOTAL	100	79

Reviewer Name Mumm Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name Schenkel / Spalte	
-----------------------------	--

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	20
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	20
3. Project Approach	20	19
4. Reference Projects	20	19
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	49
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	9
TOTAL	100	96

Reviewer Name Munch
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name	ADG	
-----------	-----	--

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	18
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	18
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	8
TOTAL	100	89

Firm Name WJA	
---------------	--

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	18
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	15
3. Project Approach	20	15
4. Reference Projects	20	15
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	5
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	5
TOTAL	100	73

Reviewer Name Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name WJ ARCHETECHTS

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	17
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	16
4. Reference Projects	20	18
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	7
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	g
TOTAL	100	84

Reviewer Name Cochrand Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name ADG RATE

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	17
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	17
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	Ŷ
TOTAL	100	57

Reviewer Name Company 12, 2025

Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name \_\_\_\_\_\_ CHCA

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	18
<ol><li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li></ol>	20	/δ
3. Project Approach	20	/7
4. Reference Projects	20	18
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	9
TOTAL	100	89

Firm Name 6 11 A

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	16
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	17
3. Project Approach	20	15
4. Reference Projects	20	17
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	/3
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	7
TOTAL	100	82

Reviewer Name Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name PMSA

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	17
<ol><li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li></ol>	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	10
4. Reference Projects	20	15
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	9
TOTAL	100	83

Reviewer Name Cochron Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name	SHENKEL	- SHULTE

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	19
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	18
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	19
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	\$
TOTAL	100	91

Reviewer Name Cocward
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name COSTELL BNUST TREMONTE

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	18
<ol> <li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li> </ol>	20	17
3. Project Approach	20	16
4. Reference Projects	20	15
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	9
TOTAL	100	54

Reviewer Name McCumy
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name Castellanos + Tranonte Architects

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	20-15 (5)
<ol><li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li></ol>	20	a Co
3. Project Approach	20	(20)
4. Reference Projects	20	
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	10
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	
TOTAL	100	25

Firm Name WJ Architects

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	10
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	10
3. Project Approach	20	10
4. Reference Projects	20	20
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	5
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	5
TOTAL	100	60

Reviewer Name McCuy
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name Parker/Mudgett Smith / Architects

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	15
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	1 5
3. Project Approach	20	16
4. Reference Projects	20	10
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	10
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	کہ
TOTAL	100	65

Reviewer Name McCuvy
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name GHC Architects

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	15
<ol><li>Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel</li></ol>	20	1-5
3. Project Approach	20	15
4. Reference Projects	20	15
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	(0
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	S
TOTAL	100	75

Reviewer Name McCuwf
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name GMA Architects Planners

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	15
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	20
3. Project Approach	20	10
4. Reference Projects	20	20
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	10
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	5
TOTAL	100	80

Reviewer Name McCury
Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name ADG Architecture

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	ZD
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	2.0
3. Project Approach	20	15
4. Reference Projects	20	20
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	8
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	8
TOTAL	100	91

Firm Name Schenkel Shultz

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	20
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	20
3. Project Approach	20	20
4. Reference Projects	20	20
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	(6
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	10
TOTAL	100	100

Reviewer Name Muenm Evaluation Committee Meeting February 12, 2025

Firm Name _	GMH
-------------	-----

Criteria	Maximum Points	Score
1. Firm Qualifications	20	18
2. Qualifications of Project Manager and Key Personnel	20	19
3. Project Approach	20	18
4. Reference Projects	20	19
5. Office Location(s) Relative to District Needs	10	9
6. Current and Projected Workload	10	સ
TOTAL	100	91